

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,854	854 03/21/2002		Andrew Austen Mortlock	Z70601-1	6749	
44992	7590	11/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ASTRAZE	NECA R&	D BOSTON	TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO			
35 GATEH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WALTHAM, MA 02451-12		<del>1</del> 51-1215		1624		
				DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/088,854	MORTLOCK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tamthom N. Truong	1624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>Nugust 2005</u> .	•				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 11,12 and 15-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 11,12 and 15-21 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9)[	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
· 10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

#### FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 8-12-05 has been fully considered. The cancellation of claims 1-10 has overcome the previous rejection of 112/1<sup>st</sup> paragraph. The amended claims 11, 15 and 16 have overcome the previous rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph on all issues except "prodrug", so the previous rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph is maintained for "prodrug". However, the amended claim 11 has introduced new matter in the definition of R<sup>38</sup>. Thus, the following new ground of rejection is necessitated by amendment. Also, applicant's argument has not overcome the previous 103 rejection based on **Thomas et. al.** (US 6,184,225 B1 and 6,291,455 B1). Therefore, said rejection is maintained herein.

Claims 1-10, 13, and 14 are cancelled.

Claims 17-21 have been added.

Claims 11, 12 and 15-21 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 11, 12, 15, 16 and 21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,854 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Although the limitation of "ester, amide" has been deleted from claim 11, the limitation of "prodrug" still has indefinite metes and bounds because it is not clear what moiety would constitute a "prodrug", and where the location of said moiety would be, especially when R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>4</sup>, and R<sup>7</sup>-R<sup>9</sup> represent substituents that cannot be esterified or amidated.

Claim 21 recites the definition of "prodrug" that includes "alkyl, aryl or aralkyl derivative thereof" which has indefinite metes and bounds because the structure of said derivative is vague and indeterminate.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. **New Matter:** Claims 11, 12, and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 11 has been amended to define R<sup>14</sup> which includes R<sup>38</sup>. However, the definition of R<sup>38</sup> includes substituents that have no support in the specification such as: "nitro,..., oxo, cyanoC<sub>1-4</sub>alkyl, cylopropyl, C<sub>1-4</sub>alkylsulphonylC<sub>1-4</sub>alkyl,

Application/Control Number: 10/088,854

Art Unit: 1624

 $C_{1-4}$ alkoxycarbonyl, di $(C_{1-4}$ alkyl)amino,  $C_{1-4}$ alkylamino $C_{1-4}$ alkyl,..., - $C(O)NR^{39}R^{40}$ , - $NR^{41}C(O)R^{42}$ ..."

Claims 12, 15-21 are rejected as being dependent on claim 11 and carrying over the new matter presented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11 and 15-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Thomas et. al.** (US'225). The rejection remains as stated in the previous action and for the following reasons:
  - a. Applicants asserted that there is no motivation in the reference for one skilled in the art to move the -OH group from the 5<sup>th</sup> position (*meta*-) to the 4<sup>th</sup> (*para*-) position on the aniline ring to obtain the claimed compounds. Applicants also pointed out that:

    "None of the compounds have a hydroxy group at any other position." Applicants further noted that on column 4, at line 21, R<sup>b</sup>, the para substituent, does not include -OH (hydroxy) group; however, at line 23, R<sup>c</sup>, meta substituent, includes the -OH group.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,854

Art Unit: 1624

Applicants, then, concluded that: "This [the reference] is clearly providing direction to the skilled person, that where a hydroxy group is present it should be in the meta position."

Page 5

- b. It is true that in the reference, the hydroxy group is always in the *meta* (or 5<sup>th</sup>) position. However, the generic formula (I) on column 2 clearly allows any substituents represented by R<sup>3</sup> (including hydroxy) to be **anywhere** on the phenyl ring (see column 2, formula I, and the definition of R<sup>3</sup> on lines 62-64). While the preferred embodiment has the hydroxy group at *meta* position, it does **not** teach away from the generic disclosure, nor does it negate the motivation found in the generic disclosure.
- c. Furthermore, it is within the level of the skilled chemist to make compounds wherein a substituent can be anywhere on the phenyl ring (*ortho-*, *meta-*, or *para-*). For example, the –OH group is known to be *ortho-* or *para-* directing. Thus, to make a *para-* hydroxy-aniline, all one has to do is to react a *phenol* with *N-chloroalkylamine* and a *metallic-ion* catalyst in the presence of sulfuric acid (see reaction 1-6 in Advanced Organic Chemistry by March cited to show scientific principle). Therefore, the skilled chemist would not have to rely on the hindsight of the instant invention.
- d. The motivation for modifying the compound in Example 17 of US'225 comes from the equivalent teaching for substituent R<sup>3</sup> at all positions on the phenyl ring as indicated in formula (I) (see column 2). Moreover, it is noted that R<sup>3</sup> only represents a handful of moieties, and thus, does not pose a problem for the skilled chemist to select a -OH group in the *para* position.

Thus, at the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to make and use compounds as claimed herein in view of Thomas et. al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamthom N. Truong

Examiner

Art Unit 1624

10-28-05

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERINSORY PATENT EXAMINER